UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,021	02/09/2004	Ramez Emile Necola Shehada	064693-0103	9078
MCDERMOT	7590 02/05/2007 T, WILL & EMERY		EXAMINER HAND, MELANIE JO	
Suite 3400				
2049 Century Park East Los Angeles, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3761		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	02/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, nowers, may a reply be timely filled in the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, nowers, may a reply be timely filled in 100 period for reply a specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failur for reply will the set or testeded period for reply will be set or testeded period for reply will, by state or to secone AshAndroble (30 st. 6.2 § 133). Any reply received by the Office bett than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any sented period for reply will be set or cause the application to become AshAndroble (30 st. 6.2 § 133). Any reply received by the Office better than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any sented period for reply as provided any sented period for reply will be set on the sentence of the communication.  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on <u>09 January 2007.</u> 2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are pending in the application.  5) Claim(s) 1 3-15 is/are pending in the application.  5) Claim(s) 1 3-15 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1 3-15 is/are expected.  7) Claim(s) 1 3-15 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1 3-15 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The gracial provision of the communication of the provision of the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Provision of the provision of the provision of			<i>(</i> ) <b>1</b>					
Examiner Metanie J. Hand  -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —  Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Exceptions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13403. In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed that the 37 to 18 to		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner   Melanis J Hand   3761	Office Action Summary	10/776,021						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exemisor of time may be abundence the provincion of 37 GF1 113(b). In no event, however, may a reply be simely filed  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stillutory pricted will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failur to reply within the soft or extended period for reply will, by stables, cause the periplication focus of this communication.  Failur to reply within the soft or extended period for reply will, by stables, cause the periplication (25 to 3.5, § 133). Any reply received by the Office list than three months after the mailure of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any exempt period that application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) 1.3-15 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1.3-15 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 3.3-15 is/are rejected.  7) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  8) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF4 1-136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF4 1-136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed or provision of the provision of 37 CF4 1-136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed or provision of the provision of 37 CF4 1-136(s). Any reply received by the Office shorts them before months after the mains of the communication of the second patient term separative them separatives. As 37 CFR 1-136(s).  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply well, by stability, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office shorts them separatives.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply well, by stability, and the provision of the communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply well, by stability, and the provision of the communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply well, by stability, and the set of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply and with expert and the maining dise of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply and with expert and the remaining dise of this communication.  - Failure to reply and the set of the communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply and with expert and the set of the communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply and with expert and the set of the communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or expert and the set of the communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or expert and the set of the communication.  - Failure to reply the set of the communication.	TI MAN INC DATE of this commission in	<u> </u>						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1130(b). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failur to reply which he set or extended period for regiven they allow parts with apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failur to reply which he set or extended period for regiven they allow parts with expiration (2) (5) (1.5) (1.3). Any reply received by the Office better than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely (sed, may reduce any seamed pattern than adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.70(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on *09 January 2007.*  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ Claim(s) *1.3 ±15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) *1.3 ±15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) *1.3 ±15 is/are rejected.  - This claim(s) *1.3 ±15 is/are rejected.  - This claim(s) *1.3 ±15 is/are rejected.  - The Claim(s) *1.3 ±15 is/are rejected.  - The drawing(s) filled on *1.5 *1.5 *1.5 *1.5 *1.5 *1.5 *1.5 *1.5	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (	correspondence address					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \$\textit{99 January 2007}\$.  2a  This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) \$\frac{1}{1.00} \textit{3.15}\$ is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5] Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s)	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing</li> </ul>	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a)  This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-818)  3) Notice of Informati Patent Application	Status	•						
3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1    Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2    Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3    Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e) 1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ja	anuary 2007.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Traffigerson's Patent Trawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	· _							
6) Claim(s) 1,3-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cacepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some or None or 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08)	,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-15</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application		7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonomore of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  10 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	•	•						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1  ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  9) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Solution of Informal Patent Application	•		ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	eu.					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
5) The information bisclosure statement(s) (1 Torobroo)								
		6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 9, 2007 has been entered.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jenkins et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,645,199).

With respect to **claim 1:** Jenkins teaches an implantable surgical drain having a contact optimizer when placed against a tissue of a patient's body for draining fluid and sensing at least one physiological property of the tissue comprising: an elongated conduit 114 configured to be implanted in a patient's body to drain fluid from a tissue of the body, the elongated conduit 114 including a first and a second surface on an outer side of the elongated conduit 114 (Fig. 10A, Col. 11, lines 52-56); a first sensing system element 104 positioned at the first surface of the elongated conduit 114 configured to sense a physiological property of the tissue, and a first inflatable compartment 118 positioned between the first and the second surfaces of said conduit 114 configured to optimize contact between the tissue and the first sensing element 104. (see Abstract)

With respect to **claim 3**: As seen in Fig. 2, Jenkins teaches inflatable compartment 18 located within elongated conduit 20.

With respect to **claim 4**: As can be seen in Fig. 12A, the first sensing system element 104 located on loop structure 14 and first inflatable compartment 118 are positioned at about the same position along a drain length.

With respect to claim 5: The physiological property is temperature. (Col. 16, lines 32-34)

With respect to **claim 6**: A second sensing system element 106 is used as a mapping element and is thus configured to sense a different physiological property than the first sensing system element 104. (Col. 11, lines 27-30)

mention of a pump.

With respect to **claim 9:** Jenkins implicitly describes a pump in communication with an interior portion of the inflatable compartment. (Col. 11, line 65 - Col. 12, line 3) Jenkins teaches that "pressure is maintained to maintain the inflatable push structure 118 in the expanded orientation in Fig. 10A. This maintenance of the expanded configuration of inflatable compartment 118 can

only be accomplished by a pump structure, even though Jenkins does not make specific

Page 4

With respect to **claim 10:** Jenkins also implicitly describes a pressure monitor in communication with the interior portion of the inflatable chamber by teaching that "pressure is maintained to maintain the inflatable push structure 118 in the expanded orientation in Fig. 10A". (Col. 12, lines 1-3)

With respect to **claim 11:** The surgical drain further includes an anchor 128 configured to stabilize the position of the surgical drain relative to the tissue in the body. (Col. 12, lines 43-47)

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins et al (199) in view of Fiddian-Green (U.S. Patent No. 6,334,064).

With respect to **claim 7**: The elongated conduit 114 taught by Jenkins does not include a drain portion. Fiddian-Green teaches a plurality of drain holes 74 or 274 on conduit 22 that are

spaced along substantially the entire length of, and define, a drain portion. Such portion is configured to rest against a substantial length of tissue within the body through which a stomach or bladder may be aspirated. (Col. 6, lines 57-59, Col. 10, lines 32-34) Since the devices of Jenkins and Fiddian-Green seek to solve a similar problem, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Jenkins so as include a drain portion comprising a plurality of drain openings spaced along substantially the entire length of the drain portion as taught by Fiddian-Green.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins et al (199).

With respect to **claim 8**: Jenkins teaches a PC board and a connector port 129 (Col. 12, lines 55,56) and signals transmitted to said PC board from the temperature sensors for control purposes (Col. 16, lines 35-38), but does not explicitly teach a display configured to depict data corresponding to the physiological property sensed by the first sensing system element. However, given that data is created, collected and potentially used for tissue mapping purposes as taught by Jenkins, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a display configured to depict such data.

Claims 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins et al ('199) in view of Wittes et al (U.S. Patent No. 3,680,562).

With respect to **claim 12**: The surgical drain taught by Jenkins does not include a projection extending from the outer side, wherein the projection is configured for insertion into tissue in the

body cavity. Wittes teaches bifurcated wings 40,40' that are bent away from catheter tube 28 (i.e. extending from the outer side) and affixed to the skin to anchor catheter 32 via sutures after said tubing is implanted within a patient's bladder (thus being configured for insertion into tissue in the body). Wittes teaches that catheter 32 is indwelling and designed to contact tissue, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device taught by Jenkins such that the elongated conduit includes a projection extending from its outer side as taught by Wittes.

With respect to **claim 15:** The surgical drain taught by Jenkins does not include a flap extending from the outer side. Wittes teaches a catheter 32 comprising a sheath 38 bifurcated into half-tube wings 40,40' (flap) that serves as an anchoring means. Since Wittes teaches that catheter 32 is indwelling and designed to contact tissue as is the device of Jenkins, both devices seek to solve a similar problem and thus it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the elongated conduit taught by Jenkins to include a flap as taught by Wittes.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins et al ('199) in view of Torre et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0055757).

With respect to **claim 13**: The surgical drain taught by Jenkins does not include a first loop extending from the outer side. Torre teaches an intragastric endoscope 10 having a loop on its surface for manipulation, deflation and/or removal of said endoscope. (¶ 0016) Jenkins is teaching a device that seeks to solve a similar problem (i.e. anchoring the device near tissue to evaluate physiological properties of the tissue for treatment) to the device taught by Torre. The methods employed to manipulate the respective devices of Jenkins (inflatable compartment)

and Torre (surface loop) are substantially equivalent and effect the same end result, i.e. manipulation, and/or removal of an elongated conduit. Thus it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the inflatable compartment taught by Jenkins with the loop taught by Torre with a reasonable expectation of success.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins et al (199) in view of Schoolman (U.S. Patent No. 5,215,539).

With respect to **claim 14:** Jenkins does not teach that the surgical drain further includes adhesive on at least a portion of the outer side. Schoolman teaches a vacuum strip apparatus for surgical incisions comprising an elongate hollow strip 6 comprising a plurality of openings 28 (Col. 4, lines 61-66) and double-sided adhesive tape 41 on its engagement surface 39 for adhesion to a patient's skin 22. (Fig. 1) (Col. 5, lines 16,17,25-30) The devices taught by Jenkins and Schoolman seek to solve a similar problem, i.e. anchoring the device near tissue to evaluate physiological properties of the tissue for treatment. The methods employed to anchor each device by Jenkins (inflatable compartment 118) and Schoolman (adhesive tape on a tissue engagement surface) are substantially equivalent and effect the same end result, i.e. anchoring an elongated conduit adjacent a desired tissue site in the body. Thus it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the inflatable compartment taught by Jenkins with adhesive tape on the outer surface of said elongated conduit as taught by Schoolman with a reasonable expectation of success.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,021

Art Unit: 3761

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie J. Hand whose telephone number is 571-272-6464. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melanie J Hand Examiner Art Unit 3761

January 24, 2007

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8